Article

Influence of Temperature and Water Conditions on the Mineralization Rate of Tropical Peat

Nagamitsu Maie^{1*}, Masahiro Maeda¹, Aya Murouchi¹, Lulie Melling², Rieko Takamatsu¹, Faustina Sangok^{2,3}, Wataru Kakino¹, Hajime Tanji¹, Akira Watanabe³

¹ School of Veterinary Medicine, Kitasato University, 23-35-1 Higashi, Towada, Aomori 034-8628, Japan

³ Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-0814, Japan

Abstract

Tropical peat is woody peat that differs from sedge and moss peat found in temperate-boreal regions. As such, its decomposition characteristics are considered to be different. Here several factors that could influence mineralization rates of tropical peat were investigated, including forest type (mixed peat swamp (MPS) and Alan Bunga (ABg)), temperature (25° C and 35° C), and water content (60%, 80%, and 98%), in laboratory incubation experiments. Peat soil samples were incubated for one year with periodical gas sampling to measure CO₂ production. Cumulative amounts of CO₂ (Σ CO₂) produced from MPS and ABg soils during the one year period were 0.6–3.2% of peat C and 2.4–8.1%, respectively, showing that ABg soil decomposed 2.5–5.3 times faster than MPS soil when incubated at identical conditions. Q₁₀ values ranged from 0.85 to 2.4. Water content bidirectionally influenced the decomposition rate of peat.

Keywords: Mineralization rate, oxygen, peat quality, temperature, tropical peat, water content.

Introduction

Peatland accumulates 450-550 Pg of carbon (C) as humus, which is equivalent to 70% of atmospheric C stock (Parish et al., 2008). Peatland can be a significant C source when the environment changes through land-use change and global warming (Laiho, 2006). Therefore, many studies have focused on studying the influence of environmental changes on the decomposition rate of peat and the controlling factors in boreal climates (Silvola et al., 1996; Laiho, 2006 and references therein). However, while tropical peatland is estimated to accumulate 88.6 Pg C, accounting for 15-19% of global peat C pool (Page et al., 2011), comparatively few studies have been conducted on the same topic in these environments (e.g., Murayama and Baker, 1996; Hoyos-Santillan et al., 2016).

Tropical peat accumulates under tropical peat

swamp forests (TPSFs) and is woody peat that contains trunks, branches, and coarse roots in dark brown amorphous organic material. Several forest types dominate in a tropical peat dome, which generally shifts with the distance from a riverbank in a concentric fashion (Melling, 2016). In Sarawak, Malaysia, mixed peat swamp (MPS) dominates at riverbanks, which shifts into Alan Batu (ABt) and then Alan Bunga (ABg) forests toward the interior. The groundwater level and nutrient status of tropical peatlands also change with the distance from a riverbank. As such, physicochemical characteristics of different peats are different among forest types (Melling, 2016; Sangok et al., 2017).

Since the 1960s, countries in Southeast Asia, such as Indonesia and Malaysia, have turned tropical peatlands into oil palm plantations due to limited acreage of arable dry field. On reclamation of TPSF to oil palm plantations, original vegetation is clear-

² Sarawak Tropical Peat Research Institute, Lot 6035, Kota Samarahan Expressway, 94300 Kota Samarahan, Sarawak, Malaysia

cut, and the groundwater table is lowered to ca. 70 cm below the surface. In such situations, concerns arise that these environment changes may accelerate the decomposition of peat. To help investigate this and to contribute to better management of oil palm plantations, it is important to better understand the decomposition rate of peat and the influencing factors of decomposition in these environments.

Sangok et al. (2017) conducted a decomposition incubation experiment in which mesocosm columns, packed with freshly collected peat samples from native tropical swamp forests, were incubated at an oil palm plantation for three years. The quality of tropical peat was found to be the crucial factor that influenced the mineralization rate of peat in that study. However, it was not clear how temperature and water conditions, which are important factors that influence microbial activity, affected the mineralization rates. In the study described herein, the influence of temperature and water conditions on the rate of tropical peat mineralization was investigated. Two different peat samples, MPS and Alan Bunga (ABg), were incubated under controlled temperatures (25°C and 35°C) and water content (60%, 80%, 98%) for one year, and the cumulative amount of CO_2 (ΣCO_2) produced was compared between treatments.

Materials and Methods

Peat samples

Peat soil samples were collected at Maludam National Park, the largest preserve of native tropical swamp forests in Sarawak, Malaysia. The vegetation zone shifts along with the distance from riverbanks, as is often observed for tropical peat swamps: MPS is formed along neighboring riverbanks, and ABg is formed more interior. Vegetation associated with MPS is mainly composed of Gonystylus bancanus, Dactylocladus stenostachys, Copaifera palustris, and four Shorea spp., while that of ABg is dominated by Shorea albida (Melling et al., 2016). Subsurface peat samples (20-40 cm below the surface) were collected in a MPS forest (1°25'N, 111°07'E) and an ABg forest (1°27'N', 111°09'E). Peat soil samples used were identical to those used in Sangok et al. (2017), and their chemical properties, as reported in Sangok et al. (2017), are listed in Table 1. Alkyl C/O-alkyl C ratio of MPS peat were higher than that of ABg peat, suggesting that the former is more microbially

decomposed than the latter (Baldock et al., 1997).

Incubation experiment

Peat soil samples were dried at room temperature to a moisture level of 50-60% prior to passing samples through a 2-mm mesh sieve. Peat soil samples amounting to 1 g dry weight were placed into 100mL Erlenmeyer flasks. Flasks were capped tightly with double-layer butyl rubber plugs and incubated for one year at 25°C or 35°C in temperaturecontrolled incubators. Water content was regulated at 60%, 80%, and 98% on a wet soil weight basis for each temperature. The 98% moisture treatment was prepared by adding 50 mL of ultrapure water (i.e., submerged conditions). Each treatment was prepared in four replicates. Notation of samples of different treatments were done by connecting treatment conditions with a hyphen (e.g., MPS-60%25°C denotes the MPS soil incubated at a water content of 60% at 25°C). During the incubation period, a 4-mL portion of gas inside the flask was collected once a week (until 84 days) or once a month (after 84 days) using a 10-mL air-tight syringe and transferred into a 4-mL pre-evacuated glass vial (Nichiden-Rika Glass Co., Tokyo, Japan) to determine the amount of CO, produced in the treatments. After each gas sampling, the gas in the flasks was replaced by CO2-free air (N₂:79%, O₂:21%), and ultrapure water was added to maintain the setting value within 1% error.

GC analysis

Concentrations of CO₂ in the gas samples were

Table 1	Chemical	properties of	peat samples1)
---------	----------	---------------	----------------

	MPS	ABg		
pH (H ₂ O)	3.6	3.6		
Loss on ignition (%)	98	99		
Total C (g kg ⁻¹)	535	520		
Total N (g kg ⁻¹)	20	13		
C/N	27	40		
Carbon composition based on ¹³ C CPMAS NMR				
%Alkyl C (0-45ppm)	32.9	21.3		
%O-alkyl C (45–110ppm)	26.4	36.5		
%Aromatic C (110–160ppm)	26.9	32.5		
%Carboxyl C (160–190ppm)	12.5	8.8		
%Ketone C (190–220ppm)	1.3	0.9		
Alyl C/O-Alkyl C	1.25	0.58		

¹⁾ Sangok et al. (2017)

measured by introducing a 100-mL aliquot into a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-2010 Plus, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a barrier ionization discharge detector.

Statistics

Cumulative CO_2 amounts were compared statistically among the treatments using the Tukey-Kramer test (JMP ver. 9.0.3, SAS Institute Inc., NC, USA).

Results

Periodical changes of CO, production rate

Periodical changes in the cumulative CO_2 production are shown in Figure 1. The pattern of cumulative CO_2 production followed an exponential rise to maximum relationship with time for the 60% and 80% water content treatments. In contrast, the cumulative CO_2 production followed a sigmoid curve for the 98% water content. Given that the water content of MPS and ABg samples prior to their use in the experiments were 51% and 61%, respectively, microbes may have needed a lag phase for adaption to a new environment for the 98% water content treatments. Larger variances were observed between replicates for ABg-35°C, which could be due to micro-scale heterogeneity of dissolved oxygen and peat quality among replicates (Pedersen et al., 2015). Cumulative amounts of CO₂ emitted during the one year period (Σ CO₂) accounted for 0.6–3.2% and 1.3–2.7% of total peat C at 25°C and 35°C, respectively, for MPS soil (Table 2). These values for the ABg soil samples were 2.4–7.9% and 5.9–8.1% at 25°C and 35°C, respectively.

Influence of temperature on ΣCO ,

The ΣCO_2 of each treatment and the ratio of ΣCO_2 between two treatments are shown in Table 2. The ΣCO_2 increased as the temperature increased from 25°C to 35°C when the water content was 60% or 80%. The rate of the increase in ΣCO_2 with increasing temperature by 10°C (Q_{10}) was higher for the ABg soil than in the MPS soil and higher with lower water content, with a maximum observed value of 2.4 for the ABg-60% treatment. The ΣCO_2 was lower for treatments incubated at 35°C compared to 25°C when incubated with a water content of 98%, leading to Q_{10} values less than one for both MPS and ABg soils (Table 2) This was likely due to the shift of the peat environment from aerobic to anaerobic conditions..

Influence of forest type on ΣCO_{γ}

The ΣCO_2 of the ABg soil was 2.5–5.3 times greater than that of the MPS soil in identical treatment conditions (Table 2). The difference between the two soils was the largest when water content was 80%.



Figure 1. Periodical changes in cumulative CO_2 production from peat soil samples incubated at different conditions. Water content: white circle, 60%; gray triangle, 80%; and black diamond, 98%.

Treatment	$\frac{\Sigma CO_2}{(mg \ Cg^{-1} \ C \ y^{-1})}$	$\frac{35^{\circ}C}{25^{\circ}C}$ (Q ₁₀)	$\frac{ABg}{MPS}$	$\frac{80\% \text{ or } 98\%}{60\%}$
MPS-60%-25°C	$6.4\pm0.6~g^{1)}$	-	_	
MPS-80%-25°C	9.6±2.5 fg	_	_	1.5±0.3
MPS-98%-25°C	32±1 cd	-	_	5.1±0.1
MPS-60%-35°C	13±2 efg	2.1±0.2	_	
MPS-80%-35°C	15±1 efg	1.6±0.3	_	1.2±0.1
MPS-98%-35°C	27±2 cde	0.85±0.1	_	2.1±0.2
ABg-60%-25°C	24±3 def	_	3.8±0.2	
ABg-80%-25°C	41±1 c	-	4.2±0.3	1.7±0.1
ABg-98%-25°C	79±3 a	-	2.5±0.1	3.3±0.1
ABg-60%-35°C	58±14 b	2.4±0.3	4.5±0.3	
ABg-80%-35°C	81±13 a	2.0±0.2	5.3±0.2	1.4±0.3
ABg-98%-35°C	67±7 ab	0.86±0.1	2.5±0.1	1.2±0.3

Table 2 ΣCO_2 from each treatment and their ratios

¹⁾ Levels not connected with same alphabetical letter indicate significant differences (p<0.05).

Influence of water conditions on ΣCO_{γ}

When the MPS and ABg soils were incubated at 25°C, ΣCO_2 increased by 5.1 and 3.3 times, respectively, as the water content increased from 60% to 98%, with the exception of the ABg-98%-35°C treatment (Table 2). The increasing rate of ΣCO_2 with increasing water content from 60% to 98% was less at 35°C, by 2.1 and 1.4 times for MPS and ABg soils, respectively, with the exception of the ABg-98%-35°C treatment where there was no significant difference in ΣCO_2 , from the ABg-60%-35°C.

Discussion

Influence of temperature and C type on the rate of peat mineralization

According to Sangok et al. (2017), the annual rate of peat decomposition in a three-year field incubation experiment at an oil palm plantation was 3.2% for MPS soil and 6.4% for ABg soil, where the soil temperatures (at a depth of 5 cm) ranged from 23 to 33°C. Observed peat mineralization rates were intermediate in this study, suggesting that the results reflect variation in peat decomposition rates in the field. With respect to the influence of temperature, Q_{10} values in this study ranged from 1.6 to 2.4, with the exception of the 98% water content treatment, were similar to those reported for peats and soils in various regions (2.4: Lloyd and Taylor, 1994; 2.4 with a range

of 1.3–3.3: Raich and Schlesinger, 1992). Variations in Q_{10} values can occur due to differences in the C quality and temperature ranges (Inglett et al., 2012). Clein and Schimel (1995) reported Q_{10} values up to 23.4 in boreal regions. As such, increases in the soil temperature increases CO₂ production rate at a higher rate for boreal peatlands than tropical peatlands. It is important to note that soil environments, in terms of O₂ conditions, can change as temperature increases, which can lead to adverse effects on soil microbial activity (see below).

Peat quality is often ascribed to the most significant factor that influences mineralization rate or more accurately, the mineralizable C pool (Hogg et al., 1992; Laiho, 2006; Grover and Baldock, 2012). In our experiment, the rate of mineralization of ABg soil was 2.5-5.3 times faster than that of MPS soil. According to Bridgham and Richardson (1992), peats that have previously been exposed to long periods of aerobic decomposition may be more resistant to further decomposition. The groundwater table of the ABg forest (from -6.9 to -7.6 cm) was higher than that of the MPS forest (from -13.3 to -20.7cm) (Sangok et al., 2017), and the alkyl C/O-alkyl C ratio of the ABg soil sample was lower than that of the MPS soil (Table 1). Therefore, the ABg soil is likely to have undergone less microbial decomposition (Baldock et al., 1997; Grover and Baldock, 2012) and contained a larger amount of readily oxidizable C under aerobic conditions. Data confirm that the chemical

37

characteristics of soil are major influencing factors that control decomposition rates of tropical peat.

Bidirectional influence of water content on the rate of peat mineralization

In peatlands with a certain level of microbial activity and stagnant water, transfer of molecular oxygen (O_2) is limited by low O_2 diffusion coefficients and O2 consumption in upper layers. Under anaerobic conditions, decomposition of submerged peat is restricted due to prevention of phenol oxidase from eliminating phenolic compounds that inhibit biodegradation (Pind et al., 1994; Freeman et al., 2001). However, in our experiment, air inside the flask was regularly replaced with CO₂-free air (N₂: 78%; O₂: 22%), and as such, O_2 may not have been consumed to levels that would constrain the mineralization of peat at 25°C. Under such aerobic conditions, water promotes transportation/diffusion of substrates/ enzymes and mobility of microbes, resulting in higher decomposition rates at a higher water content (Stark and Firestone, 1995; Waddington et al., 2001). The response of mineralization rates to changes in water content varies depending on peat quality (Husen et al., 2014). At higher temperatures (i.e., 35°C), ΣCO₂ was lower in the 90%-35°C treatment than in the 80%-35°C treatment (Fig. 1; Table 2), likely due to exhaustion of dissolved O₂ because (1) the saturateddissolved O_2 is less at a higher temperature (8.11 mg O₂ L⁻¹ at 25°C vs. 7.04 mg O₂ L⁻¹ at 35°C), (2) the O2 diffusion coefficient is smaller at a higher water



Figure 2. Comparison of cumulative CO_2 production between treatments. Different letters on plots indicate the presence of statistically significant differences between treatments (p < 0.05).

content $(1.98 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ in air vs. } 1.9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ in}$ water; Hillel, 1998), and (3) microbial activity (i.e., soil respiration) is greater at a higher temperature under aerobic conditions (Pietikäinen et al., 2005). This interpretation is in agreement with a conceptual model proposed by Skopp et al. (1990), in which microbial activity was defined as a function of soil water content that controls substrate diffusion rate and O₂ diffusion rate. In their model, a higher water content brings a higher substrate diffusion rate and a lower O₂ diffusion rate. Until optimum water content for CO₂ production is reached, the rate of peat decomposition increases as the water content increases. A good example of this can be observed in a depth profile of decomposition rates in peat: a secondary or even primary decomposition peak can exist at the range of the water level variation in the hammock of boreal peat (Laiho, 2006). Therefore, water content is considered to have a bidirectional effect on the rate of decomposition of tropical peat as is the case with temperate-boreal peat, while the saturated-dissolved O2, and as such the optimum water content for CO₂ production, is probably lower for tropical peat compared with temperate-boreal peat.

Implications

In an oil palm plantation, CO_2 flux from soil has been considered to be strongly controlled by water-filled pore space (Melling et al., 2005). Peat compaction, which is a common practice when reclaiming tropical wetland to oil palm plantations in Malaysia, increases bulk density, lowers porosity of surface layers, and thus increases water-holding capacity of soil (Melling and Henson, 2011). Thus, soil compaction may decelerate the rate of peat decomposition in deep layers by increasing water content and lowering diffusion of O_2 . Future research is needed to elucidate changes in the soil environment caused by soil compaction to better understand their contribution to sustainable management of tropical peatlands in terms of peat decomposition and CO_2 emission.

Conclusion

We confirmed that differences in the chemical properties of peat and water content greatly influenced the rate of mineralization of tropical peat, as is the case with temperate-boreal peat. Effects of temperature on the rate of mineralization of tropical peat ($Q_{10} = 1.6-2.4$; aerobic conditions) were also similar with those

observed in other regions. Since water content exerts bidirectional influence on the rate of decomposition of tropical peat, the influence of water content on the decomposition rate of peat needs to be carefully examined in future studies.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 16H05784 and 24405029. The authors would like to thank the staff members of Sarawak Tropical Peat Research Institute (TROPI) for their devoted assistance in peat sampling. The authors also thank Ms. Ogasawara, I., Kitasato University, for her assistance in the incubation experiments.

References

- Baldock, J. A., Oades, J. M., Nelson, P. N., Skene, T.M., Golchin, A. and Clarke, P. (1997). Assessing the extent of decomposition of natural organic materials using solid-state 13C NMR spectroscopy. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 35, 1061-1084.
- Bridgham, S. D., and Richardson, C. (1992) Mechanisms controlling soil respiration (CO₂ and CH₄) in southern peatlands. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **24**, 1089-1099.
- Clein, J. S. and Schimel, J. P. (1995) Microbial activity of tundra and taiga soils at sub-zero temperatures. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **27**, 1231-1234.
- Freeman, C., Ostle, N. and Kang, H. (2001) An enzymatic 'latch' on a global carbon store-Shortage of oxygen locks up carbon in peatlands by restraining a single enzyme. *Nature* **409**, 149.
- Grover, S. P. P. and Baldock, J. A. (2012) Carbon chemistry and mineralization of peat soils from the Australian Alps. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 63, 129-140.
- Hillel, D. (1998) Environmental Soil Physics. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 771.
- Hogg, E. H., Lieffers, V. J. and Wein, R. W. (1992) Potential carbon losses from peat profiles: effects of temperature, drought cycles, and fire. *Ecol. Appl.* 2, 298-306.
- Hoyos-Santillan, J., Lomax, B. H., Large, D., Turner,
 B. L., Boom, A., Lopez, O. R. and Sjögersten,
 S. (2016) Quality not quantity: Organic matter composition controls of CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in neotropical peat profiles. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 103, 86-96.
- Husen, E., Salma, S. and Agus, F. (2014) Peat

emission control by groundwater management and soil amendments; evidence from laboratory experiments. *Mitig. Adapt. Strat. Gl.* **19**, 821-829.

- Inglett, K. S., Inglett, P. W., Reddy, K. R. and Osborne, T. Z. (2012) Temperature sensitivity of greenhouse gas production in wetland soils of different vegetation. *Biogeochem.* 108, 77-90.
- Laiho, R. (2006) Decomposition in peatlands: Reconciling seemingly contrasting results on the impacts of lowered water levels. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38, 2011-2024.
- Lloyd, J. and Taylor, J. A. (1994) On the Temperature Dependence of Soil Respiration. *Funct. Ecol.* **8**, 315-323.
- Melling, L. (2016) In: *Peatland in Malaysia*. In Osaki, M., Tsuji, N., Eds.; Tropical peatland ecosystems. Springer, Tokyo, p. 59-73.
- Melling, L. and Henson, I. E. (2011) Greenhouse gas exchange of tropical peatlands-A review. J. Oil Palm Res. 23, 1087-1095.
- Melling, L, Hatano, E. and Goh, K. J. (2005) Soil CO₂ flux from three ecosystems in tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia. *Tellus* **57B**, 1-11.
- Murayama, S. and Bakar, Z. A. (1996) Decomposition of Tropical peat soils-2.Estimation of in situ decomposition by measurement of CO₂ flux. *Jap. Agr. Res. Quart.* **30**, 153-158.
- Page, S. E., Rieley, J. O. and Banks, C. J. (2011) Global and regional importance of the tropical peatland carbon pool. *Global Change Biol.* 17, 798-818.
- Parish, F., Sirin, A., Charman, D., Joosten, H., Minayeva, T., Silvius, M. and Stringer, L. (Eds.) 2008. Assessment on Peatlands, Biodiversity and Climate Change: Main Report. Global Environment Centre, Kuala Lumpur and Wetlands International, Wageningen. URL: http://www.imcg.net/media/ download_gallery/books/assessment_peatland.pdf
- Pedersen, L. L., Smets, B. F., Dechesne, A. (2015) Measuring biogeochemical heterogeneity at the micro scale in soils and sediments. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **90**, 122-128.
- Pietikäinen, J., Pettersson, M. and Bååth, E. (2005) Comparison of temperature effects on soil respiration and bacterial and fungal growth rates. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **52**, 49-58.
- Pind, A., Freeman, C., M. and Lock, A. (1994) Enzymatic degradation of phenolic materials in peatlands - measurement of phenol oxidase activity.

Plant Soil. 159, 227-231.

- Raich, J. W. and Schlesinger, W. H. (1992) The global carbon dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate. *Tellus* 44B, 81-99.
- Sangok, F. E., Maie, N., Melling, L. and Watanabe, A. (2017) Evaluation on the decomposability of tropical peat soils from different types of forest after conversion to an oil palm plantation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 587/588, 381-388.
- Silvola, J., Alm, J., Ahlholm, U., Nykänen, H. and Martikainen, P. J. (1996) CO₂ fluxes from peat in boreal mires under varying temperature and moisture conditions. J. Ecol. 84, 219-228.
- Skopp J., Jawson M. D. and Doran J. W. (1990) Steady-state aerobic microbial activity as a function of soil water content. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 54, 1619-1625.
- Stark, J. M. and Firestone, M. K. (1995) Mechanisms for soil moisture effects on activity of nitrifying bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 61, 218-221.
- Waddington, J. M., Rotenberg, P. A. and Warren, F. J. (2001) Peat CO₂ production in a natural and cutover peatland; Implications for restoration. *Biogeochem*. 54, 115-130.